

# ALSCAL IN R

JAN DE LEEUW

ABSTRACT. Algorithms and R programs are described to minimize the sstress loss function used in Multidimensional Scaling using coordinate descent methods.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Least squares multidimensional scaling (MDS) minimizes a loss function of the form

$$(1) \quad \sigma(X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} (\delta_{ij} - d_{ij}(X))^2.$$

Here  $\Delta$  is a known  $n \times n$  matrix of dissimilarities, and  $W$  is a known  $n \times n$  matrix of *weights*. The MDS problem is to minimize (1) over all  $n \times p$  *configurations*  $X$ . Loss function (1) was introduced by Kruskal [1964a,b], who called it the *stress* of configuration  $X$ . We have discussed some algorithms to minimize stress, and their implementation in R, in De Leeuw [2005b].

In this paper we look at the related problem of minimizing

$$(2) \quad \bar{\sigma}(X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} (\delta_{ij} - d_{ij}^2(X))^2.$$

In this least squares loss function, called *sstress* by Takane et al. [1977], squared distances instead of distances are fitted to the matrix of dissimilarities. There are quite a few algorithms available [Takane, 1977; Browne,

---

*Date:* January 25, 2012.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 62H25.

*Key words and phrases.* Multivariate Analysis, Correspondence Analysis.

1987; De Leeuw et al., 2005] to minimize loss function (2), but we implement the original cyclic coordinate descent (CCD) method uses by Takane et al. [1977].

## 2. SYMMETRIC

We suppose throughout that  $W$  is symmetric, hollow (zero diagonal), and non-negative and that  $\Delta$  is symmetric and hollow (not necessarily non-negative). These assumptions can be made without any real loss of generality [De Leeuw, 1977].

CCD methods change one coordinate of the configuration at the time, and cycle through all  $np$  coordinates. If we change  $x_{ks}$  to  $\tilde{x}_{ks} = x_{ks} + \theta$  then  $x_i$  changes to  $\tilde{x}_i = x_i + \theta\epsilon^{ik}e_s$ , where superscripted  $\epsilon$  is the Kronecker symbol. Thus  $\epsilon^{ik}$  is equal to one if  $i = k$  and zero otherwise.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ij}^2(\tilde{X}) &= (\tilde{x}_i - \tilde{x}_j)'(\tilde{x}_i - \tilde{x}_j) = \\ &= d_{ij}^2(X) + 2\theta(\epsilon^{ik} - \epsilon^{jk})(x_{is} - x_{js}) + \theta^2(\epsilon^{ik} - \epsilon^{jk})^2, \end{aligned}$$

and, defining the *residuals*  $\rho_{ij}(X) = \delta_{ij} - d_{ij}^2(X)$ ,

$$\sigma(\tilde{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}(\rho_{ij}(X) - 2\theta(\epsilon^{ik} - \epsilon^{jk})(x_{is} - x_{js}) - \theta^2(\epsilon^{ik} - \epsilon^{jk})^2)$$

Clearly  $\sigma(\tilde{X})$  is a quartic in  $\theta$ . Moreover this quartic is a non-negatively weighted sum of squares of quadratics, which means it is non-negative and has a minimum.

Because  $W$  is hollow, we can use the identity  $w_{ij}(\epsilon^{ik} - \epsilon^{jk})^2 = w_{ij}(\epsilon^{ik} + \epsilon^{jk})$  to simplify terms. The quartic becomes

$$\sigma(\tilde{X}) = a_0(X) + a_1(X)\theta + a_2(X)\theta^2 + a_3(X)\theta^3 + a_4(X)\theta^4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0(X) &= \sigma(X), \\
a_1(X) &= -4 \sum_{j=1}^n w_{kj} \rho_{kj}(X) (x_{ks} - x_{js}), \\
a_2(X) &= 4 \sum_{j=1}^n w_{kj} (x_{ks} - x_{js})^2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n w_{kj} \rho_{kj}(X), \\
a_3(X) &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n w_{kj} (x_{ks} - y_{js}), \\
a_4(X) &= w_{k\bullet}.
\end{aligned}$$

To find the minimizer and minimum of the quartic, we have implemented a formula given by Jeffrey [1997]. This formula are applied cyclically to each coordinate, using simple updates for the configuration and the squared distances.

### 3. RECTANGULAR

In the rectangular or *unfolding* case the sstress loss function becomes

$$\sigma(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m w_{ij} (\delta_{ij} - d_{ij}^2(X, Y))^2$$

Special algorithms for this case have been developed by ?De Leeuw [2005a]. We simply adapt the CCA method.

If we change  $x_{ks}$  to  $\tilde{x}_{ks} = x_{ks} + \theta$  then

$$d_{ij}^2(\tilde{X}, Y) = (\tilde{x}_i - y_j)'(\tilde{x}_i - y_j) = d_{kj}^2(X, Y) + 2\epsilon^{ik}\theta(x_{is} - y_{js}) + \epsilon^{ik}\theta^2,$$

and

$$\sigma(\tilde{X}, Y) = \sum_{i \neq k}^n \sigma_{i\bullet} + \sum_{j=1}^m w_{kj} (\rho_{kj}(X, Y) - 2\theta(x_{ks} - y_{js}) - \theta^2)^2.$$

Again  $\sigma(\tilde{X}, Y)$  is a non-negative quartic in  $\theta$ . By simplifying we see the quartic is

$$\sigma(\tilde{X}, Y) = a_0(X, Y) + a_1(X, Y)\theta + a_2(X, Y)\theta^2 + a_3(X, Y)\theta^3 + a_4(X, Y)\theta^4,$$

where

$$a_0(X, Y) = \sigma(X, Y),$$

$$a_1(X, Y) = -4 \sum_{j=1}^m w_{kj} \rho_{kj}(X, Y)(x_{ks} - y_{js}),$$

$$a_2(X, Y) = 4 \sum_{j=1}^m w_{kj} (x_{ks} - y_{js})^2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m w_{kj} \rho_{kj}(X, Y),$$

$$a_3(X, Y) = 4 \sum_{j=1}^m w_{kj} (x_{ks} - y_{js}),$$

$$a_4(X, Y) = w_{k\bullet}.$$

If we change  $y_{\ell s}$  to  $\tilde{y}_{\ell s} = y_{\ell s} + \theta$  then

$$d_{i\ell}^2(X, \tilde{Y}) = (x_i - \tilde{y}_\ell)'(x_i - \tilde{y}_\ell) = d_{i\ell}^2(X, Y) - 2\theta(x_{is} - y_{\ell s}) + \theta^2,$$

and

$$\sigma(X, \tilde{Y}) = \sum_{j \neq \ell}^n \sigma_{\bullet, j} + \sum_{i=1}^m w_{i\ell} (\rho_{i\ell}(X, Y) + 2\theta(x_{is} - y_{\ell s}) - \theta^2)^2.$$

This means

$$\sigma(X, \tilde{Y}) = a_0(X, Y) + a_1(X, Y)\theta + a_2(X, Y)\theta^2 + a_3(X, Y)\theta^3 + a_4(X, Y)\theta^4,$$

where

$$a_0(X, Y) = \sigma(X, Y),$$

$$a_1(X, Y) = 4 \sum_{i=1}^n w_{i\ell} \rho_{i\ell}(X, Y)(x_{is} - y_{\ell s}),$$

$$a_2(X, Y) = 4 \sum_{i=1}^n w_{i\ell} (x_{is} - y_{\ell s})^2 - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n w_{i\ell} \rho_{i\ell}(X, Y),$$

$$a_3(X, Y) = -4 \sum_{i=1}^n w_{i\ell} (x_{is} - y_{\ell s}),$$

$$a_4(X, Y) = w_{\bullet\ell}.$$

#### 4. THREE-WAY DATA

## APPENDIX A. CODE

```

#
# alscale package
# functions to minimize sstress
#
5 # version 0.0.1  only metric , one matrix
#
# version 0.1.0      02-06-06 (added elegant
  algorithm)
# version 0.1.1      02-06-06 (elegant algorithm
  with power iterations)
# version 0.1.2      02-07-06 (removed elegant to
  separate package)
10

require("mdsutils")
require("lowpoly")

15 alscaleRect<-function(
  delta ,
  w="none" ,
  p=2,
  init="svd" ,
20  verbose=FALSE,
  ithist=TRUE,
  itmax=100,
  eps=1e-6) {
  n<-dim(delta)[1]; m<-dim(delta)[2]; itel<-1
25 if (!is.matrix(w)) w<-matrix(1,n,m)
  nn<-1:n; mm<-1:m; pp<-1:p; wr<-rowSums(w); wc<-colSums
    (w)
  if (is.list(init)) {
    x<-init[[1]]; y<-init[[2]]

```

```

    }
30  else {
      e<- -0.5*(delta -outer(rowSums(delta)/m,colSums(
        delta)/n,"+")+(sum(delta)/(n*m)))
      z<-svd(e,nu=p,nv=0); x<-z$u; y<-crossprod(e,x
        )
    }
    d<-dist2Rect(x,y); r<-w*(delta-d); t<-r*(delta-d)
35  rr<-rowSums(r); rc<-colSums(r)
    tr<-rowSums(t); tc<-colSums(t); sstress<-sum(tc);
      psstress=ssstress
    repeat {
      for (i in nn) for (s in pp) {
        u<-x[i,s]-y[,s]; ww<-w[i,]
40      a4<-wr[i]; a3<-4*sum(ww*u)
        a2<- (4*sum(ww*u*u)) - (2*rr[i])
        a1<- -4*sum(r[i,]*u); a0<-ssstress
        mn<-minimizeQuartic(c(a0,a1,a2,a3,a4))
          ; th<-mn[1]
        x[i,s]<-x[i,s]+th; d[i,]<-d[i,]+(2*th
          *u)+(th*th)
45      r[i,]<-ww*(delta[i,]-d[i,]); t[i,]<-r[
        i,]*(delta[i,]-d[i,])
        rr[i]<-sum(r[i,]); tr[i]<-sum(t[i,]);
          sstress=sum(tr)
        if (verbose) print(ssstress)
      }
      rc<-colSums(r); tc<-colSums(t)
50  for (j in mm) for (s in pp) {
        u<-x[,s]-y[j,s]; ww<-w[,j]
        a4<-wc[j]; a3<- -4*sum(ww*u)
        a2<- (4*sum(ww*u*u)) - (2*rc[j])
        a1<- -4*sum(r[,j]*u); a0<-ssstress

```

```

55      mn<-minimizeQuartic(c(a0,a1,a2,a3,a4))
        ; th<-mn[1]
        y[j,s]<-y[j,s]+th; d[,j]<-d[,j]-(2*th
          *u)+(th*th)
        r[,j]<-ww*(delta[,j]-d[,j]); t[,j]<-r
          [,j]*(delta[,j]-d[,j])
        rc[j]<-sum(r[,j]); tc[j]<-sum(t[,j]);
          sstress=sum(tc)
          if(verbose) print(ssstress)
60      }
      rr<-rowSums(r); tr<-rowSums(t)
      if(ithist) {
        cat("Iteration:",formatC(itel,digits
          =6,width=6),
          "SSStress:",formatC(
            psstress,digits=6,width=12,
            format="f"),
65      "=>",formatC(ssstress,digits
          =6,width=12,format="f"),"\n
          ")
      }
      if(((psstress-ssstress)<eps) || (itel==itmax))
        break()
      psstress<-ssstress; itel<-itel+1
    }
70  return(list(x=x,y=y,d=d,ssstress=ssstress))
  }

alscalSym<-function(
  delta,
75  w=matrix(1,dim(delta)[1],dim(delta)[1]),
  p=2,
  init="torg",
  verbose=FALSE,

```

```

      ithist=TRUE,
80      itmax=100,
      eps=1e-6) {
n<-dim(delta)[1]; itel<-1
nn<-1:n; pp<-1:p; wr<-rowSums(w)
  if (is.matrix(init)) x<-init
85 else {
      e<-0.5*(delta-outer(rowSums(delta)/n,colSums(
          delta)/n,"+")+(sum(delta)/(n*n))
      z<-svd(e,nu=p,nv=0); x<-t(sqrt(z$d[1:p])*t(z$u
          ))
      }
d<-dist2Sym(x); r<-delta-d; rr<-rowSums(w*r)
90 sstress=sum(w*r*r)/2; psstress<-sstress
  repeat {
    for (i in nn) for (s in pp) {
      u<-x[i,s]-x[,s]; ww<-w[i,]
      a4<-wr[i]; a3<-2*sum(ww*u)
      a2<-4*sum(ww*u*u)-(2*rr[i])
95 a1<-4*sum(ww*r[i,]*u); a0<-sstress
      mn<-minimizeQuartic(c(a0,a1,a2,a3,a4))
      ; th<-mn[1]
      x[i,s]<-x[i,s]+th; d[i,]<-d[i,]+(2*th
          *u)+(th*th)
      d[,i]<-d[,i]+(2*th*u)+(th*th); d[i,i]
          <-d[i,i]-2*th*th
100 r<-delta-d; rr<-rowSums(w*r); sstress=
          sum(w*r*r)/2
      if (verbose) print(sstress)
      }
    if (ithist) {
      cat("Iteration:",formatC(itel,digits
          =6,width=6),

```



```

105         "    SStress :    ", formatC(
            psstress , digits =6, width =12,
            format="f" ) ,
            " ==>" , formatC( sstress , digits
            =6, width =12, format="f" ) , "\n
            ")
        }
        if ((( psstress - sstress ) < eps ) || ( itel == itmax ))
            break ()
        psstress <- sstress ; itel <- itel +1
110 }
    return ( list ( x=x , d=d , sstress = sstress ) )
}

```

## REFERENCES

- M.W. Browne. The Young-Householder Algorithm and the Least Squares Multidimensional Scaling of Squared Distances. *Journal of Classification*, 4:175–190, 1987.
- J. De Leeuw. Algorithm Construction by Decomposition. In preparation, 2005a.
- J. De Leeuw. SMACOF in R. In preparation, 2005b.
- J. De Leeuw. Applications of Convex Analysis to Multidimensional Scaling. In J.R. Barra, F. Brodeau, G. Romier, and B. Van Cutsem, editors, *Recent developments in statistics*, pages 133–145, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1977. North Holland Publishing Company.
- J. De Leeuw, P.J.F. Groenen, and R. Pietersz. Augmentation and Majorization Algorithms for Squared Distance Scaling. In preparation, 2005.
- D.J. Jeffrey. Formulae, Algorithms, and Quartic Extrema. *Mathematics Magazine*, 70:349–356, 1997.
- J. B. Kruskal. Multidimensional Scaling by Optimizing Goodness of Fit to a Nonmetric Hypothesis. *Psychometrika*, 29:1–27, 1964a.
- J.B. Kruskal. Nonmetric Multidimensional Scaling: a Numerical Method. *Psychometrika*, 29:115–129, 1964b.

- Y. Takane. On the Relations among Four Methods of Multidimensional Scaling. *Behaviormetrika*, 4:29–42, 1977.
- Y. Takane, F.W. Young, and J. De Leeuw. Nonmetric Individual Differences in Multidimensional Scaling: An Alternating Least Squares Method with Optimal Scaling Features. *Psychometrika*, 42:7–67, 1977.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1554

*E-mail address*, Jan de Leeuw: [deleeuw@stat.ucla.edu](mailto:deleeuw@stat.ucla.edu)

*URL*, Jan de Leeuw: <http://gifi.stat.ucla.edu>