

**RESULTS FOR
REGRESSION ANALYSIS IN THE WILMINGTON CASE**

JAN DE LEEUW
WITH THE ASSISTENCE OF
VIVIAN LEW, STAN BENTOW, MATT MCKEEVER

CONTENTS

CONTENTS

Date. March 24, 1995.

1. ARMOR, IMPROVED

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	562.185	(104.981)
Variable 0	-196.837	(109.011)
Variable 1	23.9820	(107.686)

R Squared:	0.792080
Sigma hat:	5.48721
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	567.940	(27.1219)
Variable 0	-248.049	(72.6514)
Variable 1	aliased	
Variable 2	-192.660	(55.5139)

R Squared:	0.690145
Sigma hat:	6.69858
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	610.048	(38.3220)
Variable 0	-163.435	(39.5323)
Variable 1	-9.07375	(39.0469)
Variable 2	-153.279	(23.6671)
Variable 3	aliased	
Variable 4	-116.561	(18.2001)

R Squared:	0.978991
Sigma hat:	1.97778
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	7

(270.985537915394 403.8390291207603 27.381389932517838)

TABLE 1. Mathematics, District 31

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	366.334	(61.7566)
Variable 0	-29.2973	(70.5008)
Variable 1	172.585	(68.3579)

R Squared:	0.709318
Sigma hat:	7.69128
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	536.934	(23.7408)
Variable 0	-230.581	(50.6022)
Variable 1	-336.934	(188.429)
Variable 2	-192.295	(40.5010)

R Squared:	0.816854
Sigma hat:	6.47536
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	8

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	477.920	(35.6469)
Variable 0	-31.4816	(33.8757)
Variable 1	82.4235	(36.4256)
Variable 2	-157.722	(33.4690)
Variable 3	-246.439	(109.079)
Variable 4	-133.398	(27.0820)

R Squared:	0.955690
Sigma hat:	3.67777
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	6

(532.4018474334454 335.4423191724806 81.15595889855068)

TABLE 2. Mathematics, District 32

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	456.057	(81.5436)
Variable 0	-113.617	(86.9097)
Variable 1	53.2523	(84.6328)

R Squared:	0.685912
Sigma hat:	6.86054
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	504.991	(20.4226)
Variable 0	-213.800	(53.2648)
Variable 1	-117.198	(239.435)
Variable 2	-146.316	(41.9607)

R Squared:	0.749568
Sigma hat:	6.49762
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	8

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	518.446	(28.5018)
Variable 0	-99.4242	(29.3640)
Variable 1	8.01587	(29.0477)
Variable 2	-151.630	(20.8470)
Variable 3	-101.060	(85.2746)
Variable 4	-104.436	(16.1025)

R Squared:	0.976192
Sigma hat:	2.31335
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	6

(423.60366066065643 337.752565652123 32.109584116800434)

TABLE 3. Mathematics, District 33

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	371.182	(65.3219)
Variable 0	-42.2016	(68.1701)
Variable 1	78.9895	(67.4359)

R Squared:	0.713332
Sigma hat:	4.23706
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	449.909	(17.4227)
Variable 0	-148.022	(36.5192)
Variable 1	aliased	
Variable 2	-93.5737	(28.7635)

R Squared:	0.701574
Sigma hat:	4.32308
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	416.605	(18.7499)
Variable 0	-31.9090	(18.9055)
Variable 1	53.1253	(18.8825)
Variable 2	-104.956	(10.6825)
Variable 3	aliased	
Variable 4	-60.7819	(8.38835)

R Squared:	0.982936
Sigma hat:	1.17215
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	7

(161.57442535172277 168.20150120678582 9.617490094533094)

TABLE 4. Mathematics, District 34

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	500.869	(117.684)
Variable 0	-151.181	(121.752)
Variable 1	64.0277	(121.091)

R Squared:	0.742796
Sigma hat:	6.82344
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	550.039	(26.6385)
Variable 0	-223.700	(62.4822)
Variable 1	-450.039	(208.542)
Variable 2	-199.206	(50.7874)

R Squared:	0.766591
Sigma hat:	6.89445
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	8

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	550.735	(50.7942)
Variable 0	-105.741	(52.3050)
Variable 1	30.7358	(51.8522)
Variable 2	-135.207	(29.9177)
Variable 3	-344.994	(89.4496)
Variable 4	-128.910	(24.2003)

R Squared:	0.968930
Sigma hat:	2.90457
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	6

(419.03348036182814 380.2678064438639 50.61926122533022)

TABLE 5. Reading, District 31

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	324.307	(65.4286)
Variable 0	-0.498378	(75.6196)
Variable 1	193.354	(73.4498)
R Squared:	0.655656	
Sigma hat:	9.06273	
Number of cases:	12	
Degrees of freedom:	9	

=====
 Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	531.255	(21.3565)
Variable 0	-246.638	(39.6817)
Variable 1	-165.255	(186.141)
Variable 2	-206.033	(34.8292)
R Squared:	0.873962	
Sigma hat:	5.81553	
Number of cases:	12	
Degrees of freedom:	8	

=====
 Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	459.081	(31.9288)
Variable 0	-1.21404	(29.8505)
Variable 1	90.3917	(32.2705)
Variable 2	-186.102	(28.8383)
Variable 3	-91.8668	(115.871)
Variable 4	-153.839	(25.1932)
R Squared:	0.964478	
Sigma hat:	3.56496	
Number of cases:	12	
Degrees of freedom:	6	

(739.1971109534609 270.56315835191987 76.25373389710647)

TABLE 6. Reading, District 32

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	439.515	(78.7964)
Variable 0	-75.4007	(85.0031)
Variable 1	88.0022	(82.1109)

R Squared:	0.658837
Sigma hat:	7.10195
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	527.731	(16.8018)
Variable 0	-220.305	(44.4030)
Variable 1	-126.588	(198.525)
Variable 2	-154.802	(34.2916)

R Squared:	0.826526
Sigma hat:	5.37142
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	8

=====

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	507.243	(23.2160)
Variable 0	-55.7503	(24.0849)
Variable 1	37.6232	(23.6801)
Variable 2	-160.514	(18.5666)
Variable 3	-84.9874	(74.2656)
Variable 4	-115.270	(13.9912)

R Squared:	0.981906
Sigma hat:	2.00315
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	6

(453.9386461817118 230.81749423402877 24.075724272415552)

TABLE 7. Reading, District 33

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	382.880	(88.0834)
Variable 0	-40.1455	(92.0275)
Variable 1	66.9284	(91.1952)

R Squared:	0.502451
Sigma hat:	5.87385
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	463.341	(12.5371)
Variable 0	-171.281	(25.7479)
Variable 1	aliased	
Variable 2	-108.987	(20.7760)

R Squared:	0.861768
Sigma hat:	3.09606
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	9

Weighted Least Squares Estimates:

Constant	440.665	(19.0697)
Variable 0	-20.3483	(19.4136)
Variable 1	37.6843	(19.3111)
Variable 2	-142.135	(11.0778)
Variable 3	aliased	
Variable 4	-88.6586	(8.77847)

R Squared:	0.982891
Sigma hat:	1.23506
Number of cases:	12
Degrees of freedom:	7

(310.5193790912975 86.27019262061698 10.677640070372602)

TABLE 8. Reading, District 34

2. ACHILLES, IMPROVED

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD31
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 186
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	236
2	NO EVENT	3922

Maximum Likelihood Iterative Phase

Iter Step	-2 Log L	INTERCPT	BLACK	OTHER	GENDER
0 INITIAL	1812.492246	-2.810525	0	0	0
1 IRLS	1671.616322	-3.823235	1.590538	-0.055734	0.926593
2 IRLS	1641.447149	-4.137052	1.523259	-0.075761	0.992328
3 IRLS	1640.947193	-4.202049	1.516995	-0.082831	1.027668
4 IRLS	1640.946855	-4.204250	1.516929	-0.083128	1.029483
5 IRLS	1640.946855	-4.204252	1.516929	-0.083129	1.029485

Last Change in -2 Log L: 3.23098E-10

Last Evaluation of Gradient

INTERCPT	BLACK	OTHER	GENDER
-0.000150371	-0.000099623	-3.523138E-6	-0.00001091

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	1814.492	1648.947	.

SC	1820.825	1674.278	.
-2 LOG L	1812.492	1640.947	171.545 with 3 DF (p=0.0001)
Score	.	.	176.228 with 3 DF (p=0.0001)

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-4.2043	0.1638	658.9059	0.0001	.	0.015
BLACK	1	1.5169	0.1475	105.7729	0.0001	0.396849	4.558
OTHER	1	-0.0831	0.5222	0.0253	0.8735	-0.008629	0.920
GENDER	1	1.0295	0.1519	45.9610	0.0001	0.283793	2.800

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 63.2%	Somers' D = 0.469
Discordant = 16.3%	Gamma = 0.589
Tied = 20.5%	Tau-a = 0.050
(925592 pairs)	c = 0.734

TABLE 9. Logistic Regression in District 31, Race and Gender

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD31
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 186
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	236
2	NO EVENT	3922

Maximum Likelihood Iterative Phase

Iter	Step	-2 Log L	INTERCPT	GENDER	LUNCH
0	INITIAL	1812.492246	-2.810525	0	0
1	IRLS	1738.221661	-3.527112	0.933705	0.836942
2	IRLS	1731.680403	-3.695330	1.000818	0.770301
3	IRLS	1731.640579	-3.712409	1.014059	0.762059
4	IRLS	1731.640575	-3.712583	1.014240	0.761981
5	HALF(1)	1731.640575	-3.712583	1.014240	0.761981

Last Change in -2 Log L: 3.410605E-12

Last Evaluation of Gradient

INTERCPT	GENDER	LUNCH
-1.412228E-6	-1.31452E-7	-9.223495E-7

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	1814.492	1737.641	.
SC	1820.825	1756.639	.

-2 LOG L	1812.492	1731.641	80.852 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)
Score	.	.	81.036 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)

TABLE 10. Logistic Regression in District 31, SES and Gender

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-3.7126	0.1413	690.0033	0.0001	.	0.024
GENDER	1	1.0142	0.1504	45.5035	0.0001	0.279590	2.757
LUNCH	1	0.7620	0.1365	31.1666	0.0001	0.190611	2.143

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 53.1%	Somers' D = 0.321
Discordant = 21.0%	Gamma = 0.433
Tied = 25.9%	Tau-a = 0.034
(925592 pairs)	c = 0.661

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD31
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 186
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	236
2	NO EVENT	3922

Maximum Likelihood Iterative Phase

Iter Step	-2 Log L	INTERCPT LUNCH	BLACK	OTHER	GENDER
0 INITIAL	1812.492246	-2.810525 0	0	0	0
1 IRLS	1671.611530	-3.823826 0.005291	1.587929	-0.057044	0.926591
2 IRLS	1641.440618	-4.138338	1.517873	-0.078609	0.992392

		0.010984			
3 IRLS	1640.940444	-4.203555	1.510754	-0.086167	1.027753
		0.012735			
4 IRLS	1640.940106	-4.205765	1.510655	-0.086484	1.029568
		0.012802			
5 IRLS	1640.940106	-4.205767	1.510655	-0.086485	1.029570
		0.012802			

Last Change in -2 Log L: 3.192326E-10

Last Evaluation of Gradient

INTERCPT	BLACK	OTHER	GENDER	LUNCH
-0.000150575	-0.00009973	-3.542122E-6	-0.000010974	-0.000065364

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	1814.492	1650.940	.
SC	1820.825	1682.604	.
-2 LOG L Score	1812.492	1640.940	171.552 with 4 DF (p=0.0001) 176.229 with 4 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-4.2058	0.1648	651.0036	0.0001	.	0.015
BLACK	1	1.5107	0.1661	82.6866	0.0001	0.395207	4.530
OTHER	1	-0.0865	0.5238	0.0273	0.8689	-0.008978	0.917
GENDER	1	1.0296	0.1519	45.9660	0.0001	0.283816	2.800
LUNCH	1	0.0128	0.1558	0.0067	0.9345	0.003202	1.013

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 65.7% Somers' D = 0.466
 Discordant = 19.1% Gamma = 0.549

Tied = 15.2% Tau-a = 0.050
 (925592 pairs) c = 0.733

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD31
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 186
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	236
2	NO EVENT	3922

Maximum Likelihood Iterative Phase

Iter Step	-2 Log L	INTERCPT	BLACK	OTHER	GENDER
		LUNCH READP25	MATHP25 READP50	MATHP50 READP75	MATHP75
0 INITIAL	1812.492246	-2.810525	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0
1 IRLS	1631.769411	-4.326428	1.319445	-0.124162	0.937977
		-0.178280	-0.160199	0.782026	0.397209
		0.887433	1.016098	0.066610	
2 IRLS	1569.759600	-5.083485	1.161616	-0.147698	0.987343
		-0.148577	0.164541	0.880654	0.629489
		1.113704	1.235119	0.386202	
3 IRLS	1566.367583	-5.505259	1.133314	-0.160684	1.036372
		-0.143268	0.325626	0.997730	0.783469
		1.323424	1.446733	0.610713	
4 IRLS	1566.293346	-5.589170	1.132411	-0.162019	1.040936
		-0.143025	0.353447	1.024265	0.812845
		1.375898	1.499576	0.664557	
5 IRLS	1566.293265	-5.591987	1.132423	-0.162038	1.040975
		-0.143027	0.354232	1.025062	0.813686
		1.377889	1.501574	0.666582	
6 IRLS	1566.293265	-5.591990	1.132423	-0.162038	1.040975
		-0.143027	0.354232	1.025063	0.813687

1.377891 1.501577 0.666585

Last Change in -2 Log L: 1.086846E-10

Last Evaluation of Gradient

INTERCPT	BLACK	OTHER	GENDER	LUNCH	MATHP25
-0.0000386	-8.23881E-6	-1.33123E-6	-0.00002738	-3.66881E-6	-5.97643E-7

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Last Evaluation of Gradient

MATHP50	MATHP75	READP25	READP50	READP75
-3.44116E-6	-6.99494E-6	-3.07506E-7	-9.03469E-7	-1.26748E-6

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept	Intercept	Chi-Square for Covariates
	Only	and Covariates	
AIC	1814.492	1588.293	.
SC	1820.825	1657.954	.
-2 LOG L	1812.492	1566.293	246.199 with 10 DF (p=0.0001)
Score	.	.	239.599 with 10 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-5.5920	0.3380	273.7188	0.0001	.	0.004
BLACK	1	1.1324	0.1697	44.5063	0.0001	0.296257	3.103
OTHER	1	-0.1620	0.5295	0.0936	0.7596	-0.016821	0.850
GENDER	1	1.0410	0.1535	46.0158	0.0001	0.286960	2.832
LUNCH	1	-0.1430	0.1580	0.8198	0.3653	-0.035778	0.867
MATHP25	1	0.3542	0.3266	1.1764	0.2781	0.091265	1.425
MATHP50	1	1.0251	0.3197	10.2779	0.0013	0.219004	2.787
MATHP75	1	0.8137	0.3201	6.4628	0.0110	0.181016	2.256
READP25	1	1.3779	0.3548	15.0850	0.0001	0.316472	3.967
READP50	1	1.5016	0.3420	19.2821	0.0001	0.359717	4.489
READP75	1	0.6666	0.3483	3.6619	0.0557	0.156764	1.948

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 78.0%	Somers' D = 0.580
Discordant = 20.0%	Gamma = 0.592
Tied = 2.0%	Tau-a = 0.062
(925592 pairs)	c = 0.790

TABLE 11. Logistic Regression in District 31

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD32
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 204
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	714
2	NO EVENT	4860

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	4268.883	3834.237	.
SC	4275.509	3867.366	.
-2 LOG L Score	4266.883	3824.237	442.646 with 4 DF (p=0.0001) 428.577 with 4 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-3.3388	0.1008	1096.2275	0.0001	.	0.035
BLACK	1	1.4489	0.0919	248.4355	0.0001	0.375408	4.258
HISP	1	0.9728	0.1427	46.4571	0.0001	0.154508	2.645
OTHER	1	-1.5921	0.7171	4.9293	0.0264	-0.136888	0.203
GENDER	1	1.1036	0.0931	140.4657	0.0001	0.303190	3.015

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 63.9%	Somers' D = 0.458
Discordant = 18.1%	Gamma = 0.559
Tied = 18.0%	Tau-a = 0.102

(3470040 pairs) c = 0.729

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD32
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 204
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	714
2	NO EVENT	4860

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	4268.883	3792.764	.
SC	4275.509	3832.520	.
-2 LOG L Score	4266.883	3780.764	486.118 with 5 DF (p=0.0001) 471.124 with 5 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-3.4917	0.1054	1096.7212	0.0001	.	0.030
BLACK	1	1.0817	0.1075	101.3088	0.0001	0.280265	2.950
HISP	1	0.5534	0.1563	12.5393	0.0004	0.087897	1.739
OTHER	1	-1.5270	0.7175	4.5296	0.0333	-0.131293	0.217
GENDER	1	1.1214	0.0936	143.5443	0.0001	0.308077	3.069
LUNCH	1	0.6710	0.1028	42.6077	0.0001	0.181737	1.956

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 68.9%	Somers' D = 0.486
Discordant = 20.2%	Gamma = 0.546
Tied = 10.9%	Tau-a = 0.109
(3470040 pairs)	c = 0.743

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD32
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 204
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	714
2	NO EVENT	4860

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	4268.883	3730.480	.
SC	4275.509	3809.990	.
-2 LOG L Score	4266.883	3706.480	560.403 with 11 DF (p=0.0001) 522.826 with 11 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-4.4020	0.1972	498.3940	0.0001	.	0.012
BLACK	1	0.9007	0.1092	67.9991	0.0001	0.233375	2.461
HISP	1	0.3564	0.1585	5.0565	0.0245	0.056601	1.428
OTHER	1	-1.2131	0.7207	2.8333	0.0923	-0.104297	0.297
GENDER	1	1.1206	0.0945	140.5857	0.0001	0.307862	3.067
LUNCH	1	0.5353	0.1058	25.5800	0.0001	0.144980	1.708
MATHP25	1	0.1923	0.2117	0.8251	0.3637	0.051076	1.212

MATHP50	1	0.6458	0.2066	9.7719	0.0018	0.146543	1.908
MATHP75	1	0.6135	0.1988	9.5273	0.0020	0.135817	1.847
READP25	1	0.9766	0.2104	21.5332	0.0001	0.252286	2.655
READP50	1	0.8423	0.2023	17.3304	0.0001	0.200298	2.322
READP75	1	0.4488	0.2018	4.9443	0.0262	0.099719	1.566

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 75.4%	Somers' D = 0.529
Discordant = 22.5%	Gamma = 0.540
Tied = 2.1%	Tau-a = 0.118
(3470040 pairs)	c = 0.764

TABLE 12. Logistic Regression in District 32

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD33
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 213
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	534
2	NO EVENT	4916

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	3496.817	3384.597	.
SC	3503.421	3417.614	.
-2 LOG L Score	3494.817	3374.597	120.220 with 4 DF (p=0.0001)
			120.889 with 4 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.8463	0.0881	1042.7066	0.0001	.	0.058
BLACK	1	0.7892	0.0949	69.1069	0.0001	0.195854	2.202
HISP	1	0.2753	0.2800	0.9665	0.3256	0.025149	1.317
OTHER	1	-1.2569	0.5879	4.5706	0.0325	-0.107321	0.285
GENDER	1	0.6336	0.0955	44.0491	0.0001	0.174638	1.884

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 51.8%	Somers' D = 0.275
Discordant = 24.3%	Gamma = 0.362
Tied = 23.9%	Tau-a = 0.049

(2625144 pairs) c = 0.638

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD33
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 213
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	534
2	NO EVENT	4916

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	3496.817	3384.574	.
SC	3503.421	3424.194	.
-2 LOG L Score	3494.817	3372.574	122.243 with 5 DF (p=0.0001) 123.087 with 5 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.8678	0.0896	1024.5505	0.0001	.	0.057
BLACK	1	0.7107	0.1100	41.7124	0.0001	0.176363	2.035
HISP	1	0.1837	0.2874	0.4085	0.5227	0.016785	1.202
OTHER	1	-1.2717	0.5881	4.6765	0.0306	-0.108588	0.280
GENDER	1	0.6332	0.0955	43.9854	0.0001	0.174545	1.884
LUNCH	1	0.1594	0.1118	2.0349	0.1537	0.039623	1.173

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 55.5%	Somers' D = 0.281
Discordant = 27.5%	Gamma = 0.338
Tied = 17.0%	Tau-a = 0.050
(2625144 pairs)	c = 0.640

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD33
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 213
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	534
2	NO EVENT	4916

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	3496.817	3260.815	.
SC	3503.421	3340.055	.
-2 LOG L Score	3494.817	3236.815	258.003 with 11 DF (p=0.0001) 257.565 with 11 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-3.9126	0.1912	418.9451	0.0001	.	0.020
BLACK	1	0.4368	0.1126	15.0587	0.0001	0.108406	1.548
HISP	1	-0.0568	0.2914	0.0380	0.8455	-0.005188	0.945
OTHER	1	-1.1346	0.5913	3.6813	0.0550	-0.096882	0.322
GENDER	1	0.6032	0.0969	38.7808	0.0001	0.166272	1.828
LUNCH	1	-0.1335	0.1154	1.3370	0.2476	-0.033166	0.875

MATHP25	1	0.7612	0.2185	12.1398	0.0005	0.185992	2.141
MATHP50	1	0.8624	0.2093	16.9788	0.0001	0.206630	2.369
MATHP75	1	0.8094	0.2009	16.2261	0.0001	0.195040	2.247
READP25	1	1.1926	0.1961	36.9819	0.0001	0.275369	3.296
READP50	1	0.4486	0.1894	5.6131	0.0178	0.108124	1.566
READP75	1	0.2715	0.1832	2.1950	0.1385	0.066633	1.312

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 69.6%	Somers' D = 0.412
Discordant = 28.4%	Gamma = 0.420
Tied = 1.9%	Tau-a = 0.073
(2625144 pairs)	c = 0.706

TABLE 13. Logistic Regression in District 33

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD34
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 185
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	610
2	NO EVENT	3649

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	3500.997	3415.846	.
SC	3507.354	3447.630	.
-2 LOG L Score	3498.997	3405.846	93.152 with 4 DF (p=0.0001)
			91.355 with 4 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.4172	0.0875	762.3868	0.0001	.	0.089
BLACK	1	0.5185	0.0903	32.9388	0.0001	0.137715	1.680
HISP	1	0.2598	0.2525	1.0585	0.3036	0.024551	1.297
OTHER	1	-0.9415	0.7304	1.6616	0.1974	-0.049450	0.390
GENDER	1	0.6915	0.0932	54.9968	0.0001	0.190039	1.997

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 49.7%	Somers' D = 0.230
Discordant = 26.7%	Gamma = 0.301
Tied = 23.6%	Tau-a = 0.056

(2225890 pairs) c = 0.615

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD34
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 185
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	610
2	NO EVENT	3649

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	3500.997	3417.580	.
SC	3507.354	3455.721	.
-2 LOG L Score	3498.997	3405.580	93.417 with 5 DF (p=0.0001) 91.575 with 5 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.4297	0.0910	713.1530	0.0001	.	0.088
BLACK	1	0.4996	0.0975	26.2581	0.0001	0.132696	1.648
HISP	1	0.2376	0.2562	0.8601	0.3537	0.022454	1.268
OTHER	1	-0.9480	0.7305	1.6841	0.1944	-0.049793	0.387
GENDER	1	0.6916	0.0932	55.0142	0.0001	0.190082	1.997
LUNCH	1	0.0498	0.0967	0.2659	0.6061	0.013456	1.051

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 54.7%	Somers' D = 0.234
Discordant = 31.4%	Gamma = 0.271
Tied = 13.9%	Tau-a = 0.057
(2225890 pairs)	c = 0.617

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD34
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 185
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	610
2	NO EVENT	3649

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	3500.997	3391.267	.
SC	3507.354	3467.549	.
-2 LOG L Score	3498.997	3367.267	131.730 with 11 DF (p=0.0001) 124.108 with 11 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-3.2606	0.1984	270.0636	0.0001	.	0.038
BLACK	1	0.4032	0.0994	16.4419	0.0001	0.107085	1.497
HISP	1	0.1681	0.2579	0.4250	0.5144	0.015889	1.183
OTHER	1	-0.9377	0.7322	1.6399	0.2003	-0.049249	0.392
GENDER	1	0.7038	0.0939	56.1586	0.0001	0.193422	2.021
LUNCH	1	-0.0405	0.0996	0.1654	0.6843	-0.010931	0.960
MATHP25	1	0.5178	0.2010	6.6374	0.0100	0.136765	1.678

MATHP50	1	0.2767	0.1954	2.0054	0.1567	0.069005	1.319
MATHP75	1	0.3604	0.1904	3.5818	0.0584	0.081783	1.434
READP25	1	0.6339	0.2129	8.8653	0.0029	0.164418	1.885
READP50	1	0.5135	0.2056	6.2352	0.0125	0.129906	1.671
READP75	1	0.6935	0.1981	12.2589	0.0005	0.160201	2.001

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 62.7%	Somers' D = 0.277
Discordant = 35.1%	Gamma = 0.283
Tied = 2.2%	Tau-a = 0.068
(2225890 pairs)	c = 0.638

TABLE 14. Logistic Regression in District 34

District 31

18:04 Friday, December 23, 1994 1

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD31
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 186
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	236
2	NO EVENT	3922

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	1814.492	1737.641	.
SC	1820.825	1756.639	.
-2 LOG L Score	1812.492	1731.641	80.852 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)
			81.036 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-3.7126	0.1413	690.0033	0.0001	.	0.024
GENDER	1	1.0142	0.1504	45.5035	0.0001	0.279590	2.757
LUNCH	1	0.7620	0.1365	31.1666	0.0001	0.190611	2.143

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 53.1%	Somers' D = 0.321
Discordant = 21.0%	Gamma = 0.433
Tied = 25.9%	Tau-a = 0.034

Tied = 23.2% Tau-a = 0.090
 (3470040 pairs) c = 0.702

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD33
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 213
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	534
2	NO EVENT	4916

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	3496.817	3431.034	.
SC	3503.421	3450.844	.
-2 LOG L Score	3494.817	3425.034	69.783 with 2 DF (p=0.0001) 70.426 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.7344	0.0836	1069.3448	0.0001	.	0.065
GENDER	1	0.6103	0.0949	41.3908	0.0001	0.168241	1.841
LUNCH	1	0.5142	0.0951	29.2253	0.0001	0.127779	1.672

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 46.3% Somers' D = 0.202
 Discordant = 26.1% Gamma = 0.279

Tied = 27.6% Tau-a = 0.036
 (2625144 pairs) c = 0.601

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.LOGD34
 Response Variable (Events): FREQ
 Response Variable (Trials): N
 Number of Observations: 185
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Count
1	EVENT	610
2	NO EVENT	3649

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	3500.997	3441.272	.
SC	3507.354	3460.343	.
-2 LOG L Score	3498.997	3435.272	63.725 with 2 DF (p=0.0001) 62.328 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.2958	0.0850	730.3600	0.0001	.	0.101
GENDER	1	0.6826	0.0929	54.0088	0.0001	0.187608	1.979
LUNCH	1	0.2337	0.0888	6.9259	0.0085	0.063106	1.263

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 46.7% Somers' D = 0.193
 Discordant = 27.4% Gamma = 0.261

Tied = 25.8%
(2225890 pairs)

Tau-a = 0.047
c = 0.597

TABLE 15. Logistic Regression in Districts

3. RESCHLY, IMPROVED

DIST94=31

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 13089
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1258
2	0	11831

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	8286.119	7942.277	.
SC	8293.599	7964.715	.
-2 LOG L Score	8284.119	7936.277	347.843 with 2 DF (p=0.0001) 374.360 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.7058	0.0451	3602.8613	0.0001	.	0.067
BLACK	1	1.1132	0.0612	331.2308	0.0001	0.285885	3.044
OTHER	1	-0.1672	0.1961	0.7270	0.3939	-0.018369	0.846

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 41.4%

Somers' D = 0.270

Discordant = 14.4% Gamma = 0.484
 Tied = 44.2% Tau-a = 0.047
 (14883398 pairs) c = 0.635

DIST94=32

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 16771
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1602
2	0	15169

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	10572.123	10269.264	.
SC	10579.850	10292.447	.
-2 LOG L Score	10570.123	10263.264	306.858 with 2 DF (p=0.0001) 327.967 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.6640	0.0407	4279.3398	0.0001	.	0.070
BLACK	1	0.9956	0.0567	308.3965	0.0001	0.248351	2.706
OTHER	1	0.3989	0.0859	21.5668	0.0001	0.072068	1.490

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 42.3%	Somers' D = 0.234
Discordant = 18.9%	Gamma = 0.382
Tied = 38.8%	Tau-a = 0.040
(24300738 pairs)	c = 0.617

DIST94=33

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 21960
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1941
2	0	20019

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	13124.955	12950.668	.
SC	13132.952	12974.659	.
-2 LOG L Score	13122.955	12944.668	178.287 with 2 DF (p=0.0001) 189.758 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.5478	0.0323	6230.8001	0.0001	.	0.078
BLACK	1	0.6438	0.0494	169.7823	0.0001	0.160792	1.904
OTHER	1	-0.1657	0.1166	2.0219	0.1550	-0.022078	0.847

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 34.1%	Somers' D = 0.153
Discordant = 18.8%	Gamma = 0.289
Tied = 47.2%	Tau-a = 0.025
(38856879 pairs)	c = 0.576

DIST94=34

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 11660
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1157
2	0	10503

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	7543.308	7485.734	.
SC	7550.671	7507.826	.
-2 LOG L Score	7541.308	7479.734	61.573 with 2 DF (p=0.0001) 63.811 with 2 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.3919	0.0425	3171.1877	0.0001	.	0.091
BLACK	1	0.4921	0.0636	59.8714	0.0001	0.128355	1.636

OTHER	1	-0.0736	0.1721	0.1830	0.6688	-0.008208	0.929
-------	---	---------	--------	--------	--------	-----------	-------

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 32.1%	Somers' D = 0.119
Discordant = 20.3%	Gamma = 0.226
Tied = 47.6%	Tau-a = 0.021
(12151971 pairs)	c = 0.559

TABLE 16. Logistic Regression in Districts, Black Only

DIST94=31

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 13089
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1258
2	0	11831

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	8286.119	7571.404	.
SC	8293.599	7601.322	.
-2 LOG L Score	8284.119	7563.404	720.715 with 3 DF (p=0.0001) 866.583 with 3 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.8466	0.0439	4204.6547	0.0001	.	0.058
AL	1	1.8396	0.0873	444.3371	0.0001	0.256255	6.294
ANL	1	2.8466	0.5363	28.1714	0.0001	0.051302	17.230
NAL	1	1.5391	0.0684	506.4701	0.0001	0.315582	4.660

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 47.9% Somers' D = 0.371
 Discordant = 10.8% Gamma = 0.632

Tied = 41.3% Tau-a = 0.064
 (14883398 pairs) c = 0.686

DIST94=32

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 16771
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1602
2	0	15169

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	10572.123	9970.673	.
SC	10579.850	10001.583	.
-2 LOG L Score	10570.123	9962.673	607.450 with 3 DF (p=0.0001) 662.926 with 3 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.8266	0.0408	4805.0310	0.0001	.	0.059
AL	1	1.4527	0.0743	382.4209	0.0001	0.235887	4.275
ANL	1	1.7280	0.3358	26.4783	0.0001	0.050897	5.630
NAL	1	1.2329	0.0598	424.7659	0.0001	0.282393	3.431

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 47.1% Somers' D = 0.320
 Discordant = 15.0% Gamma = 0.516
 Tied = 37.9% Tau-a = 0.055
 (24300738 pairs) c = 0.660

DIST94=33

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 21960
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1941
2	0	20019

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	13124.955	12634.936	.
SC	13132.952	12666.924	.
-2 LOG L Score	13122.955	12626.936	496.019 with 3 DF (p=0.0001) 563.804 with 3 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.7197	0.0327	6932.3378	0.0001	.	0.066
AL	1	1.2479	0.0675	342.2471	0.0001	0.193007	3.483
ANL	1	1.5826	0.2806	31.8006	0.0001	0.049184	4.868
NAL	1	0.9769	0.0559	305.7362	0.0001	0.204582	2.656

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 39.9%	Somers' D = 0.250
Discordant = 14.9%	Gamma = 0.457
Tied = 45.2%	Tau-a = 0.040
(38856879 pairs)	c = 0.625

DIST94=34

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 11660
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1157
2	0	10503

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	7543.308	7259.418	.
SC	7550.671	7288.874	.
-2 LOG L Score	7541.308	7251.418	289.889 with 3 DF (p=0.0001) 310.188 with 3 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.6661	0.0460	3356.3324	0.0001	.	0.070

AL	1	1.1809	0.0905	170.2977	0.0001	0.189853	3.257
ANL	1	0.8744	0.5420	2.6023	0.1067	0.023596	2.397
NAL	1	1.0158	0.0692	215.4099	0.0001	0.238336	2.762

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 43.0%	Somers' D = 0.261
Discordant = 16.8%	Gamma = 0.437
Tied = 40.2%	Tau-a = 0.047
(12151971 pairs)	c = 0.631

TABLE 17. Logistic Regression in Districts, SES Only

DIST94=31

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 13089
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1258
2	0	11831

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	8286.119	7519.472	.
SC	8293.599	7564.349	.
-2 LOG L Score	8284.119	7507.472	776.647 with 5 DF (p=0.0001) 923.064 with 5 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.9411	0.0489	3616.2632	0.0001	.	0.053
AL	1	1.5806	0.0955	273.9082	0.0001	0.220175	4.858
ANL	1	2.5842	0.5398	22.9193	0.0001	0.046573	13.253
NAL	1	1.3455	0.0756	317.1220	0.0001	0.275875	3.840
BLACK	1	0.4533	0.0714	40.3203	0.0001	0.116399	1.573
OTHER	1	-0.4799	0.1999	5.7614	0.0164	-0.052720	0.619

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 59.5%	Somers' D = 0.423
Discordant = 17.2%	Gamma = 0.551
Tied = 23.3%	Tau-a = 0.073
(14883398 pairs)	c = 0.711

DIST94=32

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 16771
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1602
2	0	15169

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	10572.123	9922.012	.
SC	10579.850	9968.377	.
-2 LOG L	10570.123	9910.012	660.110 with 5 DF (p=0.0001)
Score	.	.	726.032 with 5 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.8752	0.0443	4219.3701	0.0001	.	0.056
AL	1	1.2938	0.0843	235.7715	0.0001	0.210086	3.647
ANL	1	1.5423	0.3395	20.6361	0.0001	0.045427	4.675
NAL	1	1.1322	0.0686	272.2480	0.0001	0.259312	3.102
BLACK	1	0.3464	0.0678	26.0883	0.0001	0.086400	1.414

OTHER 1 -0.2009 0.0934 4.6245 0.0315 -0.036289 0.818

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 58.1%	Somers' D = 0.360
Discordant = 22.0%	Gamma = 0.450
Tied = 19.9%	Tau-a = 0.062
(24300738 pairs)	c = 0.680

DIST94=33

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 21960
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1941
2	0	20019

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	13124.955	12606.856	.
SC	13132.952	12654.837	.
-2 LOG L Score	13122.955	12594.856	528.100 with 5 DF (p=0.0001) 596.731 with 5 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
----------	----	--------------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------------	------------

INTERCPT	1	-2.7257	0.0351	6028.9481	0.0001	.	0.066
AL	1	1.1769	0.0751	245.7202	0.0001	0.182028	3.244
ANL	1	1.5043	0.2826	28.3300	0.0001	0.046751	4.501
NAL	1	0.9410	0.0608	239.7692	0.0001	0.197074	2.563
BLACK	1	0.1433	0.0575	6.2136	0.0127	0.035786	1.154
OTHER	1	-0.4820	0.1192	16.3614	0.0001	-0.064204	0.618

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 50.7%	Somers' D = 0.283
Discordant = 22.3%	Gamma = 0.388
Tied = 27.0%	Tau-a = 0.046
(38856879 pairs)	c = 0.642

DIST94=34

The LOGISTIC Procedure

Data Set: WORK.TEST
 Response Variable: HANDICAP
 Response Levels: 2
 Number of Observations: 11660
 Link Function: Logit

Response Profile

Ordered Value	HANDICAP	Count
1	1	1157
2	0	10503

Criteria for Assessing Model Fit

Criterion	Intercept Only	Intercept and Covariates	Chi-Square for Covariates
AIC	7543.308	7255.186	.
SC	7550.671	7299.370	.
-2 LOG L Score	7541.308	7243.186	298.121 with 5 DF (p=0.0001) 318.605 with 5 DF (p=0.0001)

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > Chi-Square	Standardized Estimate	Odds Ratio
INTERCPT	1	-2.6696	0.0492	2947.0397	0.0001	.	0.069
AL	1	1.1529	0.0965	142.6925	0.0001	0.185354	3.168
ANL	1	0.8493	0.5429	2.4471	0.1177	0.022920	2.338
NAL	1	1.0074	0.0736	187.1972	0.0001	0.236368	2.739
BLACK	1	0.0665	0.0704	0.8921	0.3449	0.017333	1.069
OTHER	1	-0.4078	0.1753	5.4120	0.0200	-0.045465	0.665

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses

Concordant = 52.5%	Somers' D = 0.277
Discordant = 24.7%	Gamma = 0.359
Tied = 22.8%	Tau-a = 0.050
(12151971 pairs)	c = 0.639

TABLE 18. Logistic Regression in Districts, Race and SES

UCLA STATISTICS PROGRAM, 8118 MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES
E-mail address: deleeuw@stat.ucla.edu